

FW: Opposition to Cannabis Urgency Ordinance

Jocelyn Brennan

Wed 9/14/2016 1:43 PM

To: cr_board_clerk Clerk Recorder <cr_board_clerk@co.slo.ca.us>;

Cc: Adam Hill <ahill@co.slo.ca.us>; Bruce Gibson <bgibson@co.slo.ca.us>; Cherie McKee <cmckee@co.slo.ca.us>; Debbie Arnold <darnold@co.slo.ca.us>; Frank Mecham <fmecam@co.slo.ca.us>; Hannah Miller <hmiller@co.slo.ca.us>; Jennifer Caffee <jcaffee@co.slo.ca.us>; Vicki Shelby <vshelby@co.slo.ca.us>;

~ Jocelyn Brennan
Legislative Assistant District 4
San Luis Obispo County Supervisor Lynn Compton

[San Luis Obispo CA 93408](#)

[Visit our Website](#)

[Visit us on FaceBook](#)

From: Richard Harvey [mailto:richard@infinitefunctions.com]

Sent: Monday, September 12, 2016 11:43 AM

To: Lynn Compton <lcompton@co.slo.ca.us>

Cc: Jocelyn Brennan <jbrennan@co.slo.ca.us>

Subject: Opposition to Cannabis Urgency Ordinance

Dear Supervisor Compton,

I am opposed to the proposed Cannabis Urgency Ordinance. I would like to point out why I disagree:

- It will be a financial risk for the County. The grower community is strong and may successfully sue for the loss of property citing the Fifth Amendment just compensation rule, taking. The resultant loss to the County could be well over 10 million dollars.
- There are existing ordinances the could close 90% of the California Valley growers as most are not in compliance with residential suburban uses. As these ordinances are established they will not be considered a "taking". Enforcement of these existing ordinances will also improve the residential quality of California Valley.

I am not a grower nor a user of cannabis, but I do own property in California Valley.

Richard Harvey
 Paso Robles